

Ms. Clover Summers Sustainable Buildings Division Department for Communities and Local Government Zone 5/G10 Eland House Bressenden Place London SW1E 5DU

23<sup>rd</sup> February 2010

Dear Clover,

#### Zero Carbon for non-domestic buildings: Consultation on policy options

We are writing to you with the Home Builders Federation response to the Government's consultation on Zero Carbon for non-domestic buildings.

The Home Builders Federation (HBF) is the principal trade association representing private sector house builders in England and Wales. Our members are companies who between them build about 80% of the new homes in England and Wales.

The HBF has considered this consultation with two specific aims and objectives:

- 1) There are HBF members involved in constructing mixed-use developments and any changes to the regulations on Zero Carbon for non-domestic buildings will need to clearly reflect upon this;
- It is necessary to ensure that there is similarity between the requirements for domestic and non-domestic buildings in regards Zero Carbon and that any effort made in non-domestic does not bring any unintended consequences to domestic buildings.

We would be happy to discuss any of these issues further with the Department if this would be helpful and facilitate effective solutions.

If this letter is to be made available on the internet, please can you ensure that the signature does not appear.

Yours sincerely,

Dave Mitchell Technical Director

# Zero carbon for new non-domestic buildings: Consultation on policy options (November 2009) Response form

This form can be downloaded from:

www.communities.gov.uk/publications/planningandbuilding/newnondomesticconsult

Name: D F Mitchell Role: Technical Director	Please return by: <b>26 February 2010</b> Responses should preferably be submitted by email to: <u>buildgreen@communities.gsi.gov.uk</u>	
Organisation: Home Builders Federation (HBF)	Alternatively, hard copy responses should be sent to: Clover Summers Sustainable Buildings Division Communities and Local Government Zone 5/G10 Eland House Bressenden Place	
Address: 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor Byron House, 7-9 St James's Street, London, SW1A 1EE		
Telephone: 020 7960 1600	London SW1E 5DU	
Fax: 020 7960 1601		
e-mail: dave mitchell@bbf.co.uk		

e-mail: dave.mitchell@hbf.co.uk

Are you responding as an individual? 🗌

Or are you representing the views of an organisation?  $\boxtimes$ 

If you are responding on behalf of an organisation, please say who the organisation represents and, if applicable, how the views of members have been assembled.

HBF represents the views of its members who build around about 80% of the new homes built in England and Wales.

Is your response confidential? If so please explain why. (See disclaimer on page 5 of the consultation document)		
Yes		
No		

# Comments The response is not confidential but please ensure that no HBF staff signatures appear on websites etc.

Provision is made throughout this questionnaire for you to make additional comments. If, however, you wish to provide more detailed comments on any aspect of the consultation then please feel free to append additional materials and supplementary documents, clearly marked and cross referenced to the relevant questions, as necessary.

Organisation type (tick one box only)			
Commercial developer		Local authority – Planning	
Residential developer		Local authority – Building Control	
Property management:		Approved Inspector	
Commercial Public sector Residential		Trade body or association	$\boxtimes$
Builder – Main contractor (commercial/volume house builder)		Householder:	
Builder – Small builder (repairs/ maintenance, etc)		Homeowner	
		Tenant	
Architect		Non-governmental organisation	
Civil/structural engineer		Specific interest or lobby group	
Local authority – Other		Research/academic organisation	
		Other (please specify):	

Geographical Location		
England	Wales	
England and Wales	Other (please specify)	

### **CONSULTATION QUESTIONS**

#### Chapter 2

1. Do consultees agree that we should establish challenging energy efficiency standards for non-domestic buildings covering space heating and cooling, and measured on a kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/year basis? If not, why not, and what approach to setting energy efficiency standards would you prefer?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
used on new l	is is the correct metric to use which will align with the metric to be homes. It is important to only use one metric and to that end it will to alter BREEAM.

#### Chapter 3

2. Which of the three scenarios would you favour as a basis for setting onsite aggregate targets for zero carbon trajectories and why?

<i>you do not agree with any of the three scenarios, please provide</i> e, <i>stating why and what you would prefer.</i> Scenario 2 preferred as it the same as the 2013 improvement being taken for new homes. y a consistent approach.
work required however to ensure that no sector is unfairly d.

3. What views do you have on the impact of the costs of building to zero carbon standards in different sectors? How and why does sensitivity to new build costs differ between sectors?

Comment: The issue of costs is a very sensitive one. Given that the Zero Carbon

Hub have done a lot of good work in this area for new homes it would seem logical to ask them to follow this work through to include non domestic. It is of major importance that the cost of implementation does not prevent or hinder future growth in the industry. This is also of major importance to house building.

#### Chapter 4

4. Do you agree that we should adopt the same measures and approaches for allowable solutions for non-domestic buildings as those for homes?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment: Yes -	for consistency reasons.
The issues surro	ounding allowable solutions need to be resolved very quickly.

5. Are there any extra allowable solutions that should be used specifically for non-domestic buildings?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment: As me	entioned in question 4 we need consistency between both
sectors.	

6. Do you agree with the proposal to introduce an element of allowable solutions for non-domestic buildings at 2016? What views do you have on the level at which this should be set, and the impact this will have?

Yes
-----

No	
Don't know	
Comment: For co to the same time	nsistency with house building, both sectors should be running table.
	ly important on large mixed use developments where a district scheme might be required.

# Chapter 5

7. Do you favour an approach of setting a flat rate requirement above 100 per cent regulated emissions to account for unregulated emissions?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
	Id seem appropriate. Need to be careful that this does not pproach made in new homes.

8. Would you favour the 10 per cent allowance, the 20 per cent allowance or another rate? Why?

10 per cent	
20 per cent	
Other	
Comment: 10 per slow approach w	cent. This is going to be a highly sensitive area so a gradual ould be best.

# Chapter 6

9. Do you agree with the overall work programme we have outlined for the public sector?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment:	

10. Are there other ways in which you think the public sector could usefully provide leadership for the move to zero carbon?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment:	

11. Do you agree that the public sector should start trialling allowable solutions from 2015?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment: This will enable the sector to be in a better postion to deliver allowable solutions with confidence.	

12. What role(s) do you think local government can play in contributing to public sector leadership on zero carbon buildings?

Comment: More in depth checking that standards required are achieveable. A national consistent approach needs to be adopted by planners in ensuring that any targets they set are in line with national regulatory framework.

#### Chapter 7

13. Does this package of measures and proposals for next steps address the key delivery issues to make progress towards the zero carbon ambitions? If not, what action is needed and by whom?

Yes	
No	
Don't know	
Comment: Yes, it probably does. Although not covered by this consultation, the question still remains of how to deal with existing non domestic which will provide the bigger savings.	

# General suggestions and observations

14. If you have any other comments on the proposals for zero carbon for new nondomestic buildings, please add them here.

